DELINQUENT BEHAVIOUR IN FOSTER CARE ENVIRONMENT



60 m 0000

National Institute of Child Health

Várnai, D., Örkényi, Á., Kökönyei, Gy. Németh, Á., Páll, G., Aszmann, A., Balogh, Á.

BACKGROUND

- Adolescence and young adulthood is an important period for the development of delinquent lifestyle and risk behaviour (we have to note that many delinquencies has no continuation in adulthood)
- Substance use and delinquent behaviour is often considered to have common roots as both of them is socially non-accepted and non-conventional,
- According to Jessor (1997) the substance use is a type of delinquent behaviour, as well as youth crimes, school failure, early sexual activity
- Adolescents' social-cultural environment and their relationship with parents and family has an important role in development of life satisfaction and health
- Lack of any parent has unfavourable impact on well being (higher depression and aggression scores, feeling lonely and unhappy)
- Lack of mother correlates rather with low self esteem, whilst lack of father with risk behaviour
- In this aspect those living in foster care environment are considered to be multiple disadvantaged population
- Factors for explaining development and maintenance of substance use and delinquent behaviour:
 - Intrapersonal factors: lack of adaptive and adequate coping mechanisms, social problem solving skills, anxiety, depression, unsolved detachment-attachment problems, sensory seeking behaviour, impulsivity, feeling bored, aggression, low self control skills
 - Peer group influences: peer acceptance or exclusion, peer group norms, peer group may help communications skills and coping, on the other hand can result non-healthy lifestyle and delinquent behaviour
 - Family factors: family structure and functioning, direct parental models (delinquent, antisocial lifestyle, norms, attitude), lower parental control, monitoring, poor parent-child attachment, genetic predispositions, abuse or neglect
- Research question: what variables will predict high deviance (CBCL deviance scale scores)

METHOD

- Aim: to investigate drug consumption habits and its correlates of 15-18 year old young people living in institutional care
- Institutional care profile:
 - children's home: at least 12 at most 40 children, larger educational institutions, more traditional
 - foster home: at most 12 children in a house, more family like environment
- The study was carried out in 2003 by colleagues of public health departments
- Sample size: N=1008 (48 state institutions)
 - mean age 16,52 (sd:1,02)
 - gender: 53,8% boys, 46,2% girls
 - ethnics: 36,1% considered themselves gipsy, 62,5% Hungarian, 1,4% other
- Method: anonym, self administered questionnaire
- Topics: family, peer relations, school climate, bullying, risk behaviour (according to ESPAD study), subjective health, children's rights, life satisfaction
- Scales applied: Child Behaviour Checklist (CLCB) Deviance, Psychology Immune System Questionnaire, Child Depression Inventory, Rosenberg Self Esteem, Life Satisfaction, Psychological and Somatic Symptoms

RESULTS

- According to deviance scores we divided the sample to high (6 and above) and low (0-5) scores (based on quartiles)
- Aim: to identify variables the best distinguish these two groups
- Method: binary logistic regression
- In the logistic regression analysis the outcome variables was high deviance score

Predictive and risk factors for high deviance (scores above 6)

	Variables	OR	CI	
Self control (PISI scale)	Average (reference)			
	Below average	2,311**	1,32-4,06	
	Above average	Ns.		
Liking school	Very much or little (reference)			
	Not very much	1,71**	1,06-2,77	
	Not at all	3,47**	1,69-5,45	
School achievement	Average or better than average (reference)	0,34**	0,16-0,73	
	Worse than average			
Bullying	Not being a bully	0,42**	0,28-0,64	
	Being a bully			
Institute	Children's home			
	Foster home	0,49**	0,32-0,76	
	Elsewhere	1	Ns.	

Mean of the deviance scale (CBCL) on the whole sample (N=773) m=4,23, sd.=2,438 (HBSC 2006 m=3,11, sd.=2,5)

Applying analysis of variance no significant differences

- in type of school
- in gender (!)

Significant differences between

- age groups (15-16 yrs vs. 17-18 yrs)
- ethnical groups (gipsy students scored higher)
- type of institutional care (children's home vs. foster home: in children's home it is higher)

The models explains for 23,5% (Nagelkerke R Square) of the differences

- Young people scoring lower than average self control scores have by 2,4 times higher risk for having high level of deviance than those scoring average
- Young people not liking school very much have 1,8, those not liking the school at all have 3,5 times higher chances to have high deviance scores than those liking a school at least a little
- Those adolescents feeling labelled worse than average students by their teachers have 3,3 times higher chances to have higher deviance scores than others
- Student bullying others have 2,6 times higher chance to be deviant, than those not bullying others
- Young people living in foster care homes have 0,5 less chance to score high on deviance scale than their peers in children's home

REFERENCES

Jessor, R., van den Bos, J., Vanderryn, J., Costa, F.M., Turbin, M.S. (1995). Protective factors in adolescent problem behaviour: moderator effects and developmental change. *Developmental Psychology*, 31, 923-933

Kökönyei Gyöngyi, Szabó Mónika, Aszmann Anna (2003): Drog és deviancia, Kutatási beszámoló, ISM

Moffitt, T.E. (1993): Adolescence-limited and life-course persistent antisocial behavior: a developmental taxonomy, *Psychological Review*, 100(4): 674-701.

Seiffge-Krenke, I., Weidemann, S., Fentner, S., Aegenheister, N., Poeblau, M. (2001). Coping with school-related stress in healthy and clinically referred adolescents. *European Psychologist*, *6*, 123-132.

CONCLUSIONS

- · The type of institutional care matters
- · The school climate and environment can compensate for disadvantage
- · It is worth to work on enhancement of school achievement by extra courses or teacher's extra help
- · Bullying issues are to manage in the school and in the children's home environment